

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/823,866		04/14/2004	Lawrence J. Stern	07917-212001 / UMMC 03-10	6194
26161	7590	11/29/2006		EXAM	INER
FISH & RIO P.O. BOX 10		SON PC		JUNG, UNSU	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				. 1641	-
				DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	LA Desident No.	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/823,866	STERN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Unsu Jung	1641
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply		·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 March 2006	
•	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for al		ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	•	•
·	<b>,</b> ,	_
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	·
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ominar .	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	•	by the Evaminer
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the cont	***	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
,	TO Examinor. Note the attache	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received	
Certified copies of the priority docu     Certified copies of the priority docu	•	Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	· ·
application from the International B	. •	Trootived in this Hational Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for		received
	a not of the dolanea dopied no	
		•
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		(s)/Mail Date Informal Paṭent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	

Art Unit: 1641

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-52 are pending.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-25, drawn to an array of MHC molecules complexed with antigen-derived peptides, classified in class 435, subclass 287.9, for example.
  - II. Claims 26-33, drawn to a method of identifying T cell epitope, classified in class 435, subclass 7.24, for example.
  - III. Claims 34-52, drawn to a method of making an array, classified in class 436, subclass 527, for example.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process. For example, the product of Group I can be used to isolate a population of T cells having a specific epitope.

surface.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. For example, the product of Group I can be made by a different immobilization method of using poly(ethylene glycol) coated

Page 3

Inventions II and III are independent and patentably distinct. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the method of Group II involves a step of contacting the array with a sample comprising T cells, which is not required by the method of Group III. The method of Group III involves a step of immobilizing MHC molecules complexed with antigen-derived peptides, which is not required by the method of Group II. Therefore, the methods of Groups II and III have different designs, modes of operation, and effects.

5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their

Application/Control Number: 10/823,866 Page 4

Art Unit: 1641

recognized divergent subject matter and searches for one group are not required by the others, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

### Election of Species within Group I

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention I. If Group I is elected, the Applicant is required to elect one species from each of the following three lists of species. For the species (indicated by letters) having subspecies (indicated by lower case Roman numerals) as listed below, the Applicant is further required to elect one subspecies.

List I: Substrate Coating (claim 8)

- a. Gold
- b. Biotin streptavidin
- c. Another molecule

List II: Immobilization

- a. Immobilization via MHC molecule (claims 9, 17, and 23)
  - i. Direct adsorption
  - ii. Peptide linkers
  - iii. Biotin-streptavidin
  - iv. Cysteine attachment
  - v. Amine attachment
  - vi. Metal chelate interaction
- b. Immobilization via antigen-derived peptide (claim 10,18, and 24)
  - i. Direct adsorption
  - ii. Peptide linkers
  - iii. Biotin-streptavidin
  - iv. Cysteine attachment
  - v. Amine attachment
  - vi. Metal chelate interaction

Art Unit: 1641

### List III: Costimulatory Molecules

- a. Costimulatory antibodies (claims 12 and 13)
  - i. Anti-CD2
  - ii. Anti-CD11a
  - iii. Anti-CD28
  - iv. Anti-CD49d
  - ٧.
- b. Costimulatory agents (claims 12 and 14)
  - i. B7-1
  - ii. B7-2
  - iii. ICOSL
  - iv. B7-H1
  - v. B7-111
  - vi. B7-H3
  - vii. B7-H4
  - viii. LFA-3
  - ix. ICAM-1
  - x. ICAM-2

# List IV: Anti-Factor Antibodies (claim 20)

- a. Anti-IL-2
- b. Anti-IL-3
- c. Anti-IL-4
- d. Anti-IL-5
- e. Anti-IL-6
- f. Anti-IL-7
- g. Anti-IL-9
- h. Anti-IL-10
- i. Anti-IL-12
- j. Anti-IL-13
- k. Anti-IL-16
- I. Anti-IFN-γ
- m. Anti-TNF- $\alpha$
- n. Anti-TNF-β
- o. Anti-GM-CSF
- p. Anti-OSM
- q. Anti-MIF
- r. Anti-TRAIL
- s. Anti-4-1BBL
- t. Anti-alpha-defensin

The Applicant is further advised that an election of species from List I must be consistent with an election of species from List II.

The species are independent or distinct because each species of substrate coating and immobilization linkers is chemically distinct and result in structurally distinct array of MHC molecules. Further, each species of costimulatory molecules is chemically distinct molecules having a different chemical composition and structure.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-7, 11, 15, 16, 19, 21, 22, and 25 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1641

# Election of Species within Group II

7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention II. If Group II is elected, the Applicant is required to elect one species from each of the following three lists of species. For the species (indicated by letters) having subspecies (indicated by lower case Roman numerals) as listed below, the Applicant is further required to elect one subspecies.

List I: Interaction Detection (claims 27-31)

a. Factor secretion (claims 27 and 30)

```
IL-2
i.
```

ii. IL-3

iii. IL-4

iv. IL-5

IL-6 ٧.

vi. IL-7

IL-9 vii.

IL-10 viii.

**IL-12** ix.

IL-13

Χ.

xi. **IL-16** 

xii. IFN-γ

TNF- $\alpha$ xiii.

TNF-β xiv.

**GM-CSF** XV.

OSM xvi.

MIF xvii.

**TRAIL** xviii.

xix. 4-1BBL

α-defensin XX.

CD40L XXİ.

- b. Expression of activation marker (claims 27 and 29)
  - i. CD3
  - CD4 ii.
  - CD8 iii.
  - CD11a iv.
  - CD25 ٧.
  - **CD27** vi. 🕟

Page 8

Art Unit: 1641

vii. CD28 CD44 viii. CD49e ix. CD62L X. хi. CD69 **CD71** xii. **CD95** xiii. CD152 xiv. Ly6A XV.

- c. Intracellular signal (claims 27 and 28
- d. CD30L (claim 31)
- e. CD27L (claim 31)
- f. FasL (claim 31)

List II: Anti-Factor Antibodies (claim 33)

- a. Anti-IL-2
- b. Anti-IL-3
- c. Anti-IL-4
- d. Anti-IL-5
- e. Anti-IL-6
- f. Anti-IL-7
- g. Anti-IL-9
- h. Anti-IL-10
- i. Anti-IL-12
- j. Anti-IL-13
- k. Anti-IL-16
- I. Anti-IFN-γ
- m. Anti-TNF- $\alpha$
- n. Anti-TNF-β
- o. Anti-GM-CSF
- p. Anti-OSM
- q. Anti-MIF
- r. Anti-TRAIL
- s. Anti-4-1BBL
- t. Anti- $\alpha$ -defensin

The species are independent or distinct because each species of detecting interaction of a T cell with an MHC peptide complex requires a distinct step of detecting

T cell activation or expression of a specific molecule. Further, each species of antifactor antibodies is chemically distinct molecules having a different chemical composition and structure.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 26 and 32 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

# Election of Species within Group III

8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention III. If Group III is elected, the Applicant is required to elect one species from each of the following three lists of species. For the species

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/823,866

Art Unit: 1641

(indicated by letters) having subspecies (indicated by lower case Roman numerals) as listed below, the Applicant is further required to elect one subspecies.

List I: Substrate Coating (claim 42)

- a. Gold
- b. Biotin streptavidin
- c. Another molecule

### List II: Immobilization

- a. Immobilization via MHC molecule (claims 43)
  - i. Direct adsorption
  - ii. Peptide linkers
  - iii. Biotin-streptavidin
  - iv. Cysteine attachment
  - v. Amine attachment
  - vi. Metal chelate interaction
- b. Immobilization via antigen-derived peptide (claim 44)
  - i. Direct adsorption
  - ii. Peptide linkers
  - iii. Biotin-streptavidin
  - iv. Cysteine attachment
  - v. Amine attachment
  - vi. Metal chelate interaction

### List III: Costimulatory Molecules

- a. Costimulatory antibodies (claims 46 and 47)
  - i. Anti-CD2
  - ii. Anti-CD11a
  - iii. Anti-CD28
  - iv. Anti-CD49d
- b. Costimulatory agents (claims 46 and 48)
  - i. B7-1
  - ii. B7-2
  - iii. ICOSL
  - iv. B7-H1
  - v. B7-DC

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/823,866

Art Unit: 1641

vi. B7-H3

vii. B7-H4

viii. LFA-3

ix. ICAM-1

x. ICAM-2

List IV: Anti-Factor Antibodies (claims 50 and 52)

- a. Anti-IL-2
- b. Anti-IL-3
- c. Anti-IL-4
- d. Anti-IL-5
- e. Anti-IL-6
- f. Anti-IL-7
- g. Anti-IL-9
- h. Anti-IL-10
- i. Anti-IL-12
- j. Anti-IL-13
- k Anti-IL-16
- I. Anti-IFN-γ
- m. Anti-TNF-α
- n. Anti-TNF-β
- o. Anti-GM-CSF
- p. Anti-OSM
- q. Anti-MIF
- r. Anti-TRAIL
- s. Anti-4-1BBL
- t. Anti-alpha-defensin

The Applicant is further advised that an election of species from List I must be consistent with an election of species from List II.

The species are independent or distinct because each species of substrate coating and immobilization linkers is chemically distinct and result in structurally distinct array of MHC molecules. Further, each species of costimulatory molecules is chemically distinct molecules having a different chemical composition and structure.

Art Unit: 1641

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 34-41, 45, 49, and 51 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,866 Page 13

Art Unit: 1641

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Unsu Jung whose telephone number is 571-272-8506. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1641

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Unsu Jung, Ph.D. Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1641

Page 14

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600**